



Figure 11. Strengthening of the back with exercises on a chair.

1 Over time, and similarly to the evolution observed in knee
2 rehabilitation, the duration of immobilization has progressively
3 decreased, while early mobilization has become widely
4 accepted among shoulder specialists.

5 In our practice, early mobilization was introduced as early
6 as 1986, evolving toward immediate postoperative mobilization
7 in the 2000s. This approach has consistently resulted in faster
8 functional recovery and earlier return to daily and sporting
9 activities.

10 However, prolonged immobilization remains common in
11 many settings and continues to be associated with delayed
12 recovery and increased stiffness. Early passive mobilization,
13 combined with minimal immobilization, appears to improve
14 both the speed and quality of functional recovery.

15 Several studies support this approach. Tirefort et al. [8]
16 demonstrated that the absence of postoperative immobilization
17 after rotator cuff repair is associated with improved early mobil-
18 ity and functional outcomes compared to sling immobilization.
19 Similarly, Klintberg and Gunnarsson [9], in a randomized study
20 of 114 patients, reported improved early range of motion in
21 patients undergoing early mobilization, without differences in
22 long-term outcomes or strength.

23 Denard and Lädermann [10] also reported faster recovery
24 following early mobilization after shoulder arthroplasty.

25 Beyond technical considerations, patient-related factors
26 play a critical role. Motivation and adherence to self-rehabilita-
27 tion significantly influence outcomes. Levins et al. [11] demon-
28 strated a strong correlation between patient mental health,
29 engagement in rehabilitation, and final functional results.

30 Furthermore, the physiotherapist plays a central role in both
31 preoperative preparation and postoperative follow-up, provid-
32 ing valuable feedback to the surgeon and contributing to surgi-
33 cal decision-making. The ability of the patient to actively
34 participate in rehabilitation should be considered when deter-
35 mining surgical indications.

From a health economics perspective, this rehabilitation
protocol is cost-effective compared to inpatient rehabilitation
programs. However, structured rehabilitation centers may still
be necessary in selected cases, such as patients living alone
or with limited support.

Ultimately, optimal outcomes rely on close collaboration
between the surgeon, physiotherapist, and patient. The physio-
therapist acts as a key coordinating element, ensuring adher-
ence, early detection of complications, and continuous
communication with the surgical team.

Conclusion

The rehabilitation protocol described in this study is simple,
reproducible, effective, and cost-efficient. It is based on a col-
laborative model involving an experienced surgeon, a physio-
therapist trained in shoulder rehabilitation, and a motivated
patient actively engaged in self-rehabilitation.

While surgical technique and appropriate indications remain
essential, they do not alone guarantee a successful outcome. In
shoulder pathology, rehabilitation plays a central role in deter-
mining functional recovery.

This experience, accumulated over four decades, supports
the concept that structured, early, and patient-centered rehabili-
tation is a cornerstone of both operative and non-operative
shoulder management.

Acknowledgements

– We thank Professor Albert Trillat who encouraged DFG to go and
learn about shoulder surgery in North America in 1985. We are dee-
ply grateful to our North-American Teachers and Surgeons, includ-
ing Charles S. Neer II (New-York, USA), R. Peter Welsh
(Toronto, Canada), Richard J. Hawkins (London, Canada), Richard